Production of a Chiral Magnetic Anomaly with Emerging Turbulence and Mean-Field Dynamo Action
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In relativistic magnetized plasmas, asymmetry in the number densities of left- and right-handed fermions, i.e., a nonzero chiral chemical potential $\mu_s$, leads to an electric current along the magnetic field. This causes a chiral dynamo instability for a uniform $\mu_s$, but our simulations reveal a dynamo even for fluctuating $\mu_s$ with zero mean. It produces magnetically dominated turbulence and generates mean magnetic fields via the magnetic $\alpha$ effect. Eventually, a universal scale-invariant $k^{-1}$ spectrum of $\mu_s$ and a $k^{-3}$ magnetic spectrum are formed independently of the initial condition.
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The chiral magnetic effect (CME) is a macroscopic quantum phenomenon. It leads to an electric current along the magnetic field due to an imbalance between oppositely handed electrically charged fermions [1]. This is a direct consequence of the coupling of fermionic chirality and the topology of magnetic field lines characterized by magnetic helicity [2,3]. Chiral asymmetry is quantified by the chiral chemical potential $\mu_s = \mu_L - \mu_R$, which is nonzero in regions where the chemical potentials of left- ($\mu_L$) and right-handed ($\mu_R$) fermions differ. It has been shown [4] that $\mu_s$ can survive down to energies of $\approx 10$ MeV and thereby the CME can potentially affect leptogenesis during the QCD phase transition [5] and produce gravitational waves in the early Universe [6].

The dynamics of chiral fluids has been studied in various approaches [4,7–12], including an effective description called chiral magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) [13–16]. A significant difference to classical MHD is that the CME can induce a dynamo instability in the magnetic field on small length scales [17]. Unlike classical MHD dynamos, chiral dynamos can occur without an initial velocity field and self-consistently produce turbulence through the Lorentz force. This can activate a chiral mean-field dynamo [14,18–20].

The possibility of efficient magnetic field amplification through the CME has relevance for the early Universe. In particular, the transport of magnetic energy to large length scales via a chiral inverse cascade [4,21–23] and the chiral mean-field dynamo, strongly increases the chance of primordial magnetic fields [24,25] to survive until present day. Thereby, observational constraints on magnetic fields in cosmic voids [26] may open up a unique window into the fundamental physics of the early Universe. Beyond cosmology, chiral MHD has also relevance to neutron stars [27–31], quark-gluon plasmas in heavy-ion collisions [2,3,32], and quantum materials [33].

In all previous chiral dynamo studies, a uniform initial $\mu_s$ has been considered [14,18–20]. However, a uniform $\mu_s$ requires special generation mechanisms. Therefore, we consider in this Letter a more general and universal situation with initial fluctuations of the chiral chemical potential, but zero mean.

For the analysis, we normalize $\mu_s$ by $4\alpha_{em}/(hc)$ such that it has the dimension of inverse length, where $\alpha_{em}$ is the fine structure constant, $c$ is the speed of light, and $\hbar$ is the reduced Planck constant. The strength of the coupling of the electromagnetic field to $\mu_s$ is characterized by the chiral feedback parameter $\lambda$ which, for hot plasmas, is given by $\lambda = 3\hbar c(8\alpha_{em})^2/(k_B T)^2$, where $T$ is the temperature and $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant. We consider the following set of chiral MHD equations [14]:

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = \nabla \times \left[ U \times B - \eta (\nabla \times B - \mu_s B) \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$\rho \frac{DU}{Dt} = (\nabla \times B) \times B - \nabla p + \nabla \cdot (2\mu \rho \mathbf{S}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\frac{D\rho}{Dt} = -\rho \nabla \cdot U,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$\frac{D\mu_s}{Dt} = D_s(\mu_s) + \lambda \eta |B| (\nabla \times B) - \mu_s B^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where the magnetic field $B$ is normalized such that the magnetic energy density is $B^2/2$, and $D/DT = \partial/\partial t + U \cdot \nabla$. 
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with $U$ being the velocity field. Further, $\eta$ is the microscopic magnetic diffusivity, $p$ is the fluid pressure, $S_{ij} = (U_{i,j} + U_{j,i})/2 - \delta_{ij}(\nabla U)/3$ are the components of the trace-free strain tensor $S$ (commas denote partial spatial derivatives) and $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity. We adopt an isothermal equation of state, $p = \rho c_s^2$, with $c_s$ being the sound speed. Equations (1)–(4) imply that total chirality $\chi_{tot} \equiv \langle \mathcal{H} \rangle + 2\langle \mu_5 \rangle/\lambda$ is conserved, where angle brackets denote volume averaging. Here, $\langle \mathcal{H} \rangle \equiv \langle A \cdot B \rangle$ is the magnetic helicity with the vector potential $A$ and $B = \nabla \times A$.

At the initial time $t_0$, we assume $\langle \mu_5 \rangle(t_0) = 0$, but nonzero fluctuations, $\mu'_5$, i.e., $\langle \mu_5^2 \rangle(t_0) \neq 0$. Initially, small fluctuations of $B$ with zero mean are present, while the velocity field vanishes. The fluctuations $\mu'_5$ result in an exponential growth of magnetic fluctuations due to the chiral dynamo. This instability is caused by the term $\nabla \times (v_5 B)$ in Eq. (1) with $v_5 = \eta \mu_5$ and has a growth rate $\gamma = |v_5| k - \eta k^2$, with $k$ being the wave number. This instability is referred to as the chiral dynamo [17] and occurs when $|v_5| > \eta k$. Its maximum growth rate is $\gamma_s = v_5^2/4\eta$ and is attained at $k_s = |\mu_5^2|/2$. We note that, while the $\nabla \times (v_5 B)$ term in Eq. (1) is formally similar to the kinetic $\alpha$ effect in classical mean-field MHD [14], the velocity $v_5$ is not produced by helical turbulence, but rather by the CME. During the chiral dynamo phase, magnetic fluctuations produce velocity fluctuations via the Lorentz force $(\nabla \times B) \times B$.

Since the initial mean chiral chemical potential is zero, and the initial small-scale magnetic helicity $\langle a \cdot b \rangle(t_0)$ related to the fluctuations of the vector potential $a$ and the magnetic field $b$ vanishes, we have $\chi_{tot}(t_0) = 0$. The initial $\mu'_5$ with a wide range of scales produces $b$ by the chiral dynamo. Indeed, for a wide spectrum in $k$ space, fluctuations of $\mu_5$ on larger scales serve as a mean field for fluctuations on smaller scales, so that the chiral dynamo instability excites $b$ and produces small-scale magnetic helicity $\langle a \cdot b \rangle$. Because of the conservation of total chirality, $\chi_{tot}(t) = 0$, the generation of $\langle a \cdot b \rangle$ causes growth of the mean chiral chemical potential, $\langle \mu_5 \rangle = -\lambda \langle a \cdot b \rangle/2$. Simultaneously, the chiral dynamo drives turbulence magnetically and therefore enhances the fluid and magnetic Reynolds numbers, $Re \equiv U_{rms}/(\eta k_{int})$ and $Re_M \equiv U_{rms}/(\eta k_{int})$, where $k_{int}^{-1}$ is the integral scale of magnetically driven turbulence. When $Re_M$ is large enough, the mean-field dynamo instability is excited and amplifies a large-scale magnetic field. These theoretical ideas are now checked in DNS.

We use the PENCIL CODE [34] to solve Eqs. (1)–(4) with high-order finite difference methods in a 3D periodic domain of size $L^3 = (2\pi L)^3$ with a resolution of $672^3$. The smallest wave number covered in the numerical domain is $k_1 = 2\pi/L = 1$ which we use for normalization of length scales. All velocities are normalized to $c_s = 1$ and the mean fluid density is $\bar{\rho} = 1$. Time is expressed in terms of the resistive time $t_r = (\eta k_1^2)^{-1}$ with $\eta$ being the microscopic diffusivity, which is a relevant constant throughout the DNS. We stress, however, that in magnetically driven turbulence, turbulent diffusion dominates shortly after the onset of the mean-field dynamo, yet it is not practical for normalization due to its time dependence.

For numerical stability, diffusion of $\mu_5$ has to be applied in Eq. (4). To affect primarily the largest resolved wave numbers $k$ in the simulation domain, we use hyperdiffusion, $\mathcal{D}_k(\mu_5) = -D_k^\mathcal{C}\nabla^2 \mu_5$; see the companion paper [35] for technical details. In all runs, we use $\nu = \eta = 2 \times 10^{-4}$, i.e., $Re_M = Re$, which are based on the time-dependent integral scale of magnetically driven turbulence,

$$k_{int}^{-1} = \frac{\int_0^{k_{int}} E_M(k)k^{-1}dk}{\int_0^{k_{max}} E_M(k)dk},$$

Here, $E_M$ is the magnetic energy spectrum, scaled such that $\int_0^{k_{max}} E_M(k, t)dk \equiv (B^2)/2$. Likewise, power spectra of $\mu_5$ obey $\int_0^{k_{max}} E_5(k, t)dk \equiv \langle \mu_5^2 \rangle$. As initial conditions we use $U = 0$ and a weak seed magnetic field in form of Gaussian noise. Initial fluctuations of $\mu_5$ are also set up as Gaussian noise, but with a specific spectrum that follows a power law in $k$ space, i.e., $E_5(t_0) = E_{5,0}(k/k_3)^s \exp(-k^2/k_{cut}^2)$ with a cutoff $k_{cut}$ that is needed for $s > -1$. We perform runs with $s = -2, -1, +1$ (see Table I) and the amplitude $E_{5,0}$ is chosen such that the maximum value of $\mu_5$ in the domain is comparable for all runs at the time $t_5$ when the chiral dynamo starts. In all runs, the initial mean value of $\mu_5$ is vanishing, so that $\chi_{tot} = \langle \mathcal{H} \rangle + 2\langle \mu_5 \rangle/\lambda \approx 0$, and we use $\lambda = 400$.

The fluctuations $\mu'_5$ result in an exponential growth of $B_{rms}$ at the rate $\gamma_s$ due to the chiral dynamo, as can be seen in Fig. 1(a). Usage of $v_5 = \eta\mu_{5,\text{max}}$ in the expression for $\gamma_s$ with the maximum value of the chiral chemical potential, $\mu_{5,\text{max}}$, as shown in Fig. 1(b), reproduces the observed growth rate for all runs rather well; see Fig. 1(c) [and Fig. 3(b)]. We note, however, that a sufficient separation of scales is required for the dynamo to reach the maximum possible growth rate; see the accompanying paper [35]. When comparing the measured growth rate with $\gamma_s$, we neglect the change of $\mu_5$ in time, which is much smaller than the increase of $B_{rms}$. During the chiral dynamo phase, $\langle \mathcal{H} \rangle$ [Fig. 1(a)] and $\langle \mu_5 \rangle$ [Fig. 1(b)] are produced. If the divergence of magnetic helicity fluxes is small, the latter two always tend to have opposite signs, as follows from the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>$E_5(k, t_0)$</th>
<th>$\mu_{5,\text{rms}}(t_0)$</th>
<th>$\mu_{5,\text{max}}(t_0)$</th>
<th>$\mu_{5,\text{max}}(t_5)$</th>
<th>$\text{max}(Re_M)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R = 2$</td>
<td>$\propto k^{-2}$</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R = 1$</td>
<td>$\propto k^{-1}$</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R + 1$</td>
<td>$\propto k^{4}e^{-(k/10)^2}$</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td>65.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
conservation of total chirality. Therefore, contrary to previously considered cases with an initially uniform $\mu_5$, the conservation law cannot be used to estimate the maximum magnetic field produced by the chiral dynamo. In the companion paper [35] we present a phenomenological model for the maximum magnetic field strength.

With magnetic field amplification via the chiral dynamo, velocity fluctuations are produced by the Lorentz force. When the turbulent velocity approaches the Alfvén speed, $U_{\text{rms}} \approx v_A \equiv B_{\text{rms}}$ (at $t \approx 0.03$ for run $R+1$ and $t \approx 0.05$ for runs $R-2$ and $R-1$) the small-scale chiral dynamo phase ends. This coincides with the time $t_{\text{IC}}$ when the peak of the magnetic energy spectrum reaches $\eta^2 \mu_{5,\text{max}} (t_0)$ and starts to shift to larger scales; see $E_M$ for run $R-2$ in Fig. 2(a).

In such chiral-magnetically driven turbulence, a mean-field dynamo instability can occur if $\text{Re}$ and $\text{Re}_M$ are large. To study the mean-field dynamo, we perform averages...
where $E_X(k)$ is the spectrum of $X$; see Fig. 2(c).

The mean-field dynamo instability has a maximum growth rate of $\gamma_\alpha = (\eta \langle \mu_\alpha \rangle_{\text{int}} + \alpha_p + \alpha_M + \alpha_K)^2 / (4\eta_T)$, where $\eta_T \approx \nu_{\text{max}} / (3k_{\text{int}})$ is the turbulent magnetic diffusivity. The different $\alpha$ effects are approximately given by $\alpha_p = -(2/3)\eta \langle \mu_\alpha \rangle_{\text{int}} \log(Re_M)$ [14], the magnetic $\alpha$ effect, $\alpha_M = 2(q-1)/(q+1)\tau_c \chi_c$, and the kinetic $\alpha$ effect, $\alpha_K = -(1/3)\tau_c \chi_K$. Here, $\chi_c = \langle b \times (\nabla \times b) \rangle_{\text{int}} \approx \langle a \cdot b \rangle_{\text{int}} k^3_{\text{int}}$ is the current helicity, $\chi_K = \langle u \cdot \omega \rangle_{\text{int}}$ is the kinetic helicity, $\omega = \nabla \times u$ is the vorticity, $\tau_c \approx (v_A k_{\text{int}})^{-1}$ is the correlation time of magnetically driven turbulence, and $q$ is the slope of the magnetic energy spectrum $\propto k^{-q}$. We use $q = 3$; see Fig. 2(a). Figure 3(a) shows that $\alpha_M$ dominates once turbulence is produced and therefore the mean-field dynamo growth rate is $\gamma_\alpha \approx \alpha_M^2 / (4\eta_T)$.

Our DNS indicate that $\chi_c$ plays the key role for the mean-field dynamo sourced by initially inhomogeneous fluctuations of $\mu_\alpha$; see Fig. 3(a) and the accompanying paper [35]. The evolution of $\chi_c$ is closely connected to that of the small-scale magnetic helicity [14]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} + \text{div}\mathbf{F} = 2\nu_3 b^2 - 2\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{b} - 2\eta_0 (\nabla \times \mathbf{b})^2,$$

where $\mathbf{E} \equiv \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{b} = \alpha_M \mathbf{B} - \eta_T (\nabla \times \mathbf{B})$ is the electromotive force with $\alpha_M$ being the dominant contribution to the total $\alpha$ effect, and $\mathbf{F}$ is the flux of $\mathbf{a} \mathbf{b}$. Near magnetic field maximum, two leading source or sink terms in Eq. (7), $2\nu_3 b^2 - 2\alpha_M \mathbf{B}^2$, compensate each other, so that the magnetic $\alpha$ effect reaches the value $\alpha_M^{\text{sat}} = \eta_0 b^2 / B^2$. For $R = 2$, $|\alpha_M| \approx |\alpha_M^{\text{sat}}|$ for $t \geq 0.075$, as can be seen in Fig. 3(a).

The maximum growth rate of the mean-field dynamo instability $\gamma_\alpha$ agrees well with the measured growth rate $\gamma_{\text{int}}$ of $\langle B \rangle_{\text{int}}$; see Fig. 3(b) for run $R = 2$ in the interval $0.075 < t < 0.12$. In our DNS, $\gamma_{\text{int}}$ strongly decreases when the scale at which $\gamma_\alpha$ is maximum becomes larger than the size of the box. As can be seen in Fig. 3(b), $\gamma_{\text{int}}$
vanishes once the positive contribution to the growth rate on the minimum wave number of the box, $|\alpha_{\text{mag}}| k_1$, becomes comparable to the negative contribution, $\eta_t k_1^2$. For $R \sim 2$, dissipation due to $\eta_t k_1^2$ on the box scale dominates for $t \gtrsim 0.12$.

At the time $t_k$, when the peak of the magnetic energy reaches the size of the domain, all of the $\mu_s$ spectra approach a universal $k^{-3}$; see Fig. 4. The magnetic energy spectra approach a $k^{-3}$ scaling which is, for fully helical magnetic fields, consistent with the magnetic helicity spectra $\propto k^{-4}$.

In conclusion, a small-scale chiral dynamo can arise from an initially fluctuating chiral chemical potential with zero mean. The chiral dynamo generates small-scale magnetic helicity which (i) produces a mean $\mu_s$ due to the conservation of total chirality and (ii) drives turbulence via the Lorentz force. In our DNS, sufficiently strong turbulence is generated to activate a mean-field dynamo that is well described by the magnetic $\alpha$ effect caused by current helicity. During the mean-field dynamo phase, the power spectra develop a universal shape; $E_{\mu} \propto k^{-3}$ and $E_\delta \propto k^{-1}$. In particular, with the onset of turbulence in the system, $\mu_s$ becomes scale invariant, independent of its initial condition.
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